1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Adam Bereki, Case No.: CV 19-2050-CBM-ADS(x) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER RE: NINTH CIRCUIT'S V. 13 REFERRAL AND REVOCATION Gary Humphreys; OF PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA 14 PAUPERIS STATUS [34] Karen Humphreys, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this action on October 28, 2019. (Dkt. No. 20 1.) On October 31, 2019, the Court granted Plaintiff's Request to Proceed in 21 Forma Pauperis. (Dkt. No. 5.) On February 6, 2020, this Court issued an order 22 granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and dismissed the action with prejudice 23 because Plaintiff is collaterally estopped from bringing this action and the action is 24 barred pursuant to the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. (Dkt. No. 31 (the "Order").) 25 Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal of the Order on February 10, 2020. (Dkt. 26 No. 32.) On February 24, 2020, the Ninth Circuit referred the matter to this Court 27 "for the limited purpose of determining whether in forma pauperis status should 28 continue for this appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith."

1

(Dkt. No. 34.) The Court finds Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should not continue for the appeal because Plaintiff's appeal of the Order is frivolous. Therefore, the Court revokes Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) ("An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith."); Hooker v. Am. Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002) (revocation of in forma pauperis status is appropriate where the district court finds the appeal to be frivolous). The clerk of this Court shall provide notice to the Ninth Circuit and the parties of this Order. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: February 27, 2020. CONSUELO B. MARSHALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE CC: 9<sup>TH</sup> COA